COURSE: FINANCIAL MODELS AND INSTRUMENTS FOR PUBLIC AND HEALTH SERVICES

A.Y 2021-2022, Course Year: II, I Semester, 12 ECTS Credits

ANNARITA TROTTA, FULL PROFESSOR OF BANKING AND FINANCE

Course Information	Financial Models and Instruments for Public and Health Services (SSD: SECS-P/11)				
Course Information	12 ECTS – 72 hours: Prof. Annarita Trotta				
	Lesson period: I Semester, September – December 2021 (A.Y. 2021/2022)				
Instructor Contact	Prof. Annarita Trotta, PhD – Full Professor of Banking and Finance				
	Room n. 15 (DiGES). Email: trotta@unicz.it; Tel.: +39 0961 3694975-6).				
	Website: http://www.diges.unicz.it/web/docenti/trotta-annarita/#1550739961997				
	30cb18de-ff1926e3-c813eb4f-90a0				
Course Description	The course focuses on various innovative and alternative financial models in the EU				
1	and their impact on the efficiency of public services. The course has a practical and				
	theoretical focus. It envisages business case discussions, labs and seminars with				
	experts (practitioners and policy-makers).				
Course goals and					
Expected Learning	The aims of the course are: 1) to provide students with the knowledge and tools to				
Outcomes	better understand the fundamentals of sustainable finance and the characteristics of				
(Knowledge and	the impact investing field, 2) to provide students with tools and techniques to				
understanding;	support financial decisions specifically in public administration institutions and				
Apply knowledge and	healthcare organizations; 3) to train students with an innovative and alternative				
understanding;	financial vision in order to contribute to the efficiency and transparency of public				
Autonomy of	administration institutions and complex organizations.				
judgment;	The expected learning outcomes are: i) adequate knowledge and understanding of				
Communication skills;	the topics covered; ii) the ability to apply the concepts, methods and tools learned; iii)				
Learning ability)	the ability to use and synthesise information; iv) adequate critical thinking.				
Program	Topics covered are:				
(Attending and non	- Financial intermediaries, markets and instruments: a "law and economics"				
Attending students)	approach;				
	- Sustainable finance and impact investing;				
	Trends of financial systems, with a focus on the post-Covid period;				
	. Innovative and alternative financial models for public and health services.				
	Public-private partnerships in impact investing.				
	Civic crowdfunding. The case of the Municipality of Milan.				
	Impact Investments.				
Expected student	Social bonds. Green Bonds. Social impact Bonds. Health Impact Bonds				
Expected student workload	Approximately 288 hours				
WUIKIUAU	- Lectures;				
Teaching Methods	- Case study discussions;				
reacting wiethous	- Seminars.				
Learning resources	Textbook: La finanza per l'impatto. Analisi di una possibile rivoluzione, 2020.				
(textbooks, eventual	Author: Annarita Trotta.				
further readings,)	Editor: libreriauniversitaria.it Edizioni; Webster srl, Padova.				
	Further readings:				
	Rizzello, A., Migliazza, M., Carè, R., & Trotta, A. (2015). Public funding per le				
	imprese sociali: sfide ed evoluzioni. In IRIS NETWORK IX Colloquio scientifico				
	sull'impresa sociale. (This Paper is available at: https://irisnetwork.it/wp-				
	content/uploads/2015/10/colloquio15-rizzello-migliazza-care-trotta.pdf)				
	❖ Human Foundation e Fondazione Sviluppo e Crescita CRT (2017). Studio di				
	fattibilità: l'applicazione degli strumenti pay by result per l'innovazione dei				

	pro	grammi di reinseriment	o sociale e lavorativo delle p	ersone detenute.		
	,		copy availab			
	<u>htt</u> ı	p://www.sviluppoecresc	<u>itacrt.it/repository/docs/Stud</u>	<u>io_fattibilita_paybyr</u>		
	esu	<u>lt.pdf</u>				
	❖ Viesti G. (2020), Gli investimenti pubblici nella sanità italiana 2000-2017:					
	una forte riduzione con crescenti disparità territoriali, (This document is					
			w.eticaeconomia.it/gli-invest			
	sanita-italiana2000-2017-una-forte-riduzione-con-crescenti-disparita-					
	territoriali/					
	Avviso pubblico per la concessione di contributi in integrazione con azioni di					
	" crowdfunding civico " – Comune di Milano. (The material is available at:					
	1	https://web.comune.milano.it/dseserver/webcity/garecontratti.nsf/WEBAll/79				
	8302AB505D2783C1258577004D9BFC?opendocument).					
	Further tea	Foodbands dein metalle 20th and the C. I. C. 196				
Comment anti-siting	Further teaching material will be available on the UMG e-learning platform. Students will be supplemented by weekly tutorials dealing during A.Y.					
Support activities						
Attendancy policy		The attendancy policy is established by art. 8 of the University teaching regulation:				
	http://www.unicz.it/pdf/regolamento_didattico_ateneo_dr681.pdf.					
Assessment Methods	1	The final exam will be oral.				
	The final exam will be graded according to the following table:					
		Knowledge and	Analysis and synthesis	Use of bibliographic		
		comprehension		references		
	Fail	Important gaps/mistakes/	Irrelevant /inappropriate	Inadequate		
	(0-17)	lexical inaccuracies.	use of digressions and	1		
			· ·			
			generalizations			
	18-20	Minimal orientation skills		Barely sufficient.		
	18-20	in the subject. Evident	generalizations	Barely sufficient.		
	18-20	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps.	generalizations Barely sufficient.	Barely sufficient. Correct.		
		in the subject. Evident	generalizations	·		
		in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by	·		
	21-23	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning	Correct.		
	21-23	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by	Correct.		
	21-23 24-26	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning.	Correct.		
	21-23	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by	Correct.		
	21-23 24-26	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability Very good knowledge of	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning. Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues,	Correct. Correct. . Able to focus on the		
	21-23 24-26 27-29	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories.	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning. Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality.	Correct. Correct. . Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently.		
	21-23 24-26 27-29 30-30 cum	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories. Excellent knowledge	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning. Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality. Excellent method supported by	Correct. Correct. . Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently. Excellent use		
	21-23 24-26 27-29	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories. Excellent knowledge supported by outstanding	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning. Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality. Excellent method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning	Correct. Correct. . Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently.		
	21-23 24-26 27-29 30-30 cum	in the subject. Evident imperfections/gaps. Fairly good /tolerable Knowledge. Good knowledge, albeit non supported by substantial critical/systematic ability Very good knowledge of the topics and critical understanding of the theories. Excellent knowledge	generalizations Barely sufficient. Correct method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning Good method supported by coherent presentation/reasoning. Very good capacity of analysis, and very good abilities to critically examine specific issues, with hints of originality. Excellent method supported by	Correct. Correct. . Able to focus on the subject logically and coherently. Excellent use		