

Law Degree Course,

LMG/01, ALS

***Human Rights, European Integration and Citizenship***

(DIRITTI UMANI, INTEGRAZIONE E CITTADINANZA EUROPEA)

**PROF. MASSIMO LA TORRE (3 CFU – “DIRITTI UMANI”)**

**TO BE ASSIGNED (3 CFU – “INTEGRAZIONE E CITTADINANZA EUROPEA”)**

<b>General Information</b>	Human Rights, European Integration and Citizenship  Academic Year 2021/2022, Second Semester, 6 CFU, 42 hours
<b>Professor</b>	MASSIMO LA TORRE – e-mail: mlatorre@unicz.it; Room n. 2 – Department of Law, Economics and Sociology
<b>Course Contents</b>	The course addresses the issues of the human rights, integration and european citizenship, moving from the analysis of the contemporary debate. The analysis will be carried out on the crest of historical reconstruction and the critical dimension.  Frontal lectures, giving room for discussion and debate.
<b>Course Targets and Expected Learning Outcomes</b>	The course aims at providing the student with the fundamental notions on human rights, integration and european citizenship, as well as making the student able to deliberate on practical issues concerning these topics. The course aims at: (a) providing an account of the contemporary jurisprudential debate on human rights, european integration and european citizenship. (b) stressing the reflection on the concept of supranational theory of law. (c) pointing out the many philosophical issues involved in the debate about Human Rights, European integration and European citizenship. (d) stimulating the law student’s thinking abilities.

<p><b>Topics</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Theory and History of Human Rights;</li> <li>- Human Rights Jurisprudence;</li> <li>- Theories of European Integration;</li> <li>- History and Evolution of European Citizenship;</li> <li>- Theory of Citizenship;</li> <li>- Supranational Theory of Law.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Time commitment</b></p>	<p>120 Hours</p>
<p><b>Teaching Methods</b></p>	<p>Lectures, seminars, debates, and discussions.</p>
<p><b>Didactic Materials</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A. Facchi, <i>Breve storia dei diritti umani</i>, Il Mulino, Bologna, 2007.</li> <li>- M. La Torre, <i>Disavventure del diritto soggettivo. Una vicenda teorica</i>, Giuffrè, Milano, 1996 (<b>Chapter 1</b>).</li> <li>- M. La Torre, M. Lalatta Costerbosa, A. Scerbo (a cura di), <i>Questioni di vita o morte. Etica pratica, bioetica e filosofia del diritto</i>, Torino, Giappichelli, 2007 (<b>Chapter 1</b>).</li> <li>- M. La Torre, <i>Cittadinanza e ordine politico. Diritti, crisi della sovranità e sfera pubblica: una prospettiva europea</i>, Torino, Giappichelli, 2004 (<b>Chapters 1-2-8-9</b>).</li> <li>- C. Margiotta, <i>Cittadinanza europea. Istruzioni per l'uso</i>, Roma-Bari, Laterza, 2014.</li> </ul>

<p><b>Support activities</b></p>	<p>Seminars will be scheduled during the lessons.</p> <p>A weekly reception will be provided by:</p> <p>Prof. Massimo La Torre, e-mail: mlatorre@unicz.it  Dr. Leonardo Mellace, e-mail: lmellace@unicz.it</p>																															
<p><b>Course completion</b></p>	<p>The art. 8 of the “<i>Regolamento didattico di Ateneo</i>” (<i>University Handbook for Teaching</i>), provides a guide concerning how to attend lessons.</p> <p><b><u>Oral exam, with evaluation expressed in thirtieths plus possible praise</u></b></p>																															
<p><b>Assessment methods and Criteria</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="461 904 679 1070">Graduation</th> <th data-bbox="679 904 932 1070">Arguments Knowledge</th> <th data-bbox="932 904 1278 1070">Ability to analyze and synthesize</th> <th data-bbox="1278 904 1522 1070">References</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1070 679 1319">Rejected</td> <td data-bbox="679 1070 932 1319">Serious shortcomings. Significant inaccuracies</td> <td data-bbox="932 1070 1278 1319">Presence of frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize arguments</td> <td data-bbox="1278 1070 1522 1319">insufficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1319 679 1471">18-20</td> <td data-bbox="679 1319 932 1471">Threshold-level knowledge.</td> <td data-bbox="932 1319 1278 1471">insufficient capabilities</td> <td data-bbox="1278 1319 1522 1471">Barely sufficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1471 679 1603">21-23</td> <td data-bbox="679 1471 932 1603">Basic knowledge</td> <td data-bbox="932 1471 1278 1603">analyze and synthesize arguments skills</td> <td data-bbox="1278 1471 1522 1603">Standard references</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1603 679 1736">24-26</td> <td data-bbox="679 1603 932 1736">non-trivial knowledge of the topics</td> <td data-bbox="932 1603 1278 1736">appropriate synthesis and presentation skills</td> <td data-bbox="1278 1603 1522 1736">Basic references</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1736 679 1890">27-29</td> <td data-bbox="679 1736 932 1890">Appropriate knowledge of the topics</td> <td data-bbox="932 1736 1278 1890">Good synthesis and presentation skills</td> <td data-bbox="1278 1736 1522 1890">Thorough knowledge of the main topics</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="461 1890 679 2011">30-30L</td> <td data-bbox="679 1890 932 2011">Advanced knowledge of the topics</td> <td data-bbox="932 1890 1278 2011">High synthesis and presentation skills</td> <td data-bbox="1278 1890 1522 2011">Detailed knowledge of all topics</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Graduation	Arguments Knowledge	Ability to analyze and synthesize	References	Rejected	Serious shortcomings. Significant inaccuracies	Presence of frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize arguments	insufficient	18-20	Threshold-level knowledge.	insufficient capabilities	Barely sufficient	21-23	Basic knowledge	analyze and synthesize arguments skills	Standard references	24-26	non-trivial knowledge of the topics	appropriate synthesis and presentation skills	Basic references	27-29	Appropriate knowledge of the topics	Good synthesis and presentation skills	Thorough knowledge of the main topics	30-30L	Advanced knowledge of the topics	High synthesis and presentation skills	Detailed knowledge of all topics
Graduation	Arguments Knowledge	Ability to analyze and synthesize	References																													
Rejected	Serious shortcomings. Significant inaccuracies	Presence of frequent generalizations. Inability to synthesize arguments	insufficient																													
18-20	Threshold-level knowledge.	insufficient capabilities	Barely sufficient																													
21-23	Basic knowledge	analyze and synthesize arguments skills	Standard references																													
24-26	non-trivial knowledge of the topics	appropriate synthesis and presentation skills	Basic references																													
27-29	Appropriate knowledge of the topics	Good synthesis and presentation skills	Thorough knowledge of the main topics																													
30-30L	Advanced knowledge of the topics	High synthesis and presentation skills	Detailed knowledge of all topics																													